# Asymptotic Error Estimates for Quintic Spline-on-Spline Interpolation 

Manabu Sakai<br>Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Kagoshima University, Kagoshima 890, Japan<br>Communicated by Oved Shisha

Received June 1, 1983; revised December 19, 1983

## 1. Introduction

We consider a quintic spline-on-spline technique for approximating the second (or higher order) derivative of a function from its values on a uniform partition of $I=[0,1]$ with knots $x_{i}=i h, i=0(1) n$. For a cubic spline, there is computational evidence that a cubic spline-on-spline interpolation gives excellent results for the second derivative of $\sin x$ ([1]). Dolezal and Tewarson [2] obtained error bounds for the interpolation, and we derived asymptotic expansions of the errors in the second and third derivatives ([6]). Let $s$ be an interpolatory cubic spline of a sufficiently smooth function $f$ and let $p$ be a cubic spline-on-spline interpolant of the derivative of $s$. Then we have under appropriate end conditions,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{i}^{\prime \prime}-p_{i}^{\prime}=\left(h^{4} / 90\right) f_{i}^{(6)}+\cdots \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f_{i}^{(k)}=f^{(k)}(i h)$ and $s_{i}^{(k)}=s^{(k)}(i h)$ ([6]).
The object of this paper is to derive analogous asymptotic error estimates for the quintic spline-on-spline interpolation. Let us denote an interpolating quintic spline to $f$ by $s$ and a quintic spline-on-spline interpolant of $s^{\prime}$ by $p$ :

$$
\begin{array}{rll}
\text { (i) } & s_{i}=f_{i}, & \\
\text { (ii) } & p_{i}=s_{i}^{\prime}, &  \tag{2}\\
i=0(1) n \\
\end{array}
$$

In Section 3, we shall prove the following asymptotic expansions of the errors under end conditions (20):
(i) $f_{i}^{\prime \prime}-s_{i}^{\prime \prime}=-\left(h^{4} / 720\right) f_{i}^{(6)}+\cdots$
(ii) $f_{i}^{\prime \prime}-p_{i}^{\prime}=-\left(h^{6} / 2520\right) f_{i}^{(8)}+\cdots$.
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By means of the asymptotic expansion 3(i), Richardson type extrapolation is used to get an $O\left(h^{6}\right)$ second derivative estimate without using the quintic spline-on-spline technique:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{i}^{\prime \prime}-(1 / 15)\left\{16 s_{h / 2}^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{i}\right)-s_{h}^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{i}\right)\right\}=-\left(h^{6} / 67200\right) f_{i}^{(8)}+\cdots \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any mesh point $x_{i}$ bounded away from the endpoints where $s_{h}(x)$ and $s_{h / 2}(x)$ are quintic spline interpolations to $f$ with uniform mesh sizes $h$ and $h / 2$, respectively ([7]).

On the other hand, by 3(ii) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{i}^{\prime \prime}-p_{h / 2}^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right)=-\left(h^{6} / 161280\right) f_{i}^{(8)}+\cdots \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p_{h / 2}(x)$ is a quintic spline-on-spline interpolation to $f$ with uniform mesh size $h / 2$.

Since $67200 / 161280=5 / 12$, spline-on-spline technique yields better estimate than extrapolation. As for computational effort, we may have the following result. In extrapolation method, we have to solve two linear systems of order $n$ and $2 n$ to determine $s_{h}$ and $s_{h / 2}$, respectively. In spline-on-spline technique, the coefficient matrices for determining $s_{h / 2}$ and $p_{h / 2}$ are exactly the same, and so $p_{h / 2}$ is easily determined with little additional effort.

Hence we may have a justification for using the quintic spline-on-spline technique instead of extrapolation method.

In the last section some numerical results are given.

## 2. Some Lemmas

In the present paper we consider end conditions of the form:

$$
\begin{gathered}
s_{0}^{(4)}+\alpha_{1} s_{1}^{(4)}+\beta_{1} s_{2}^{(4)}=c_{0}, \quad s_{0}^{(4)}+\gamma_{1} s_{1}^{(4)}+\delta_{1} s_{2}^{(4)}+\eta_{1} s_{3}^{(4)}=c_{1} \\
s_{n}^{(4)}+\alpha_{2} s_{n-1}^{(4)}+\beta_{2} s_{n-2}^{(4)}=c_{n} \\
s_{n}^{(4)}+\gamma_{2} s_{n-1}^{(4)}+\delta_{2} s_{n-2}^{(4)}+\eta_{2} s_{n-3}^{(4)}=c_{n-1} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Let $\theta$ and $\kappa(|\theta|>|\kappa|>1)$ be the solutions of the quartix polynomial: $x^{4}+26 x^{3}+66 x^{2}+26 x+1=0$, and $p_{i}(x)=1+\alpha_{i} x+\beta_{i} x^{2}$ and $q_{i}(x)=$ $1+\gamma_{i} x+\delta_{i} x^{2}+\eta_{i} x^{3}$. In what follows, for any finite dimensional vector and matrix, let us denote their maximum norms by $|\cdot|$. Now we shall prove the following two lemmas.

Lemma 1. If $p_{i}(1 / \theta) q_{i}(1 / \kappa)-p_{i}(1 / \kappa) q_{i}(1 / \theta) \neq 0, i=1,2$, the following $n$
by $n$ matrix $A_{n}$ of band-width five is nonsingular for sufficiently large $n$ and in addition

$$
\left|A_{n}^{-1}\right| \leqslant C
$$

where $C$ is a generic constant independent of $n$ and

$$
A_{n}=\left[\begin{array}{ccccccc}
1 & \alpha_{1} & \beta_{1} & & & &  \tag{6}\\
1 & \gamma_{1} & \delta_{1} & \eta_{1} & & & \\
1 & 26 & 66 & 26 & 1 & & \\
& \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\
& & 1 & 26 & 66 & 26 & 1 \\
& & & \eta_{2} & \delta_{2} & \gamma_{2} & 1 \\
& & & & \beta_{2} & \alpha_{2} & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

Proof. Let us consider a linear system:

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{n+1} \xi=\lambda \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\xi=\left(\xi_{0}, \xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{n}\right)^{T}$ and $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{0}, \lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}\right)^{T}$.

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
66 \xi_{2}+26 \xi_{3}+\xi_{4}=\lambda_{2}-26 \xi_{1}-\xi_{0}  \tag{8}\\
26 \xi_{2}+66 \xi_{3}+26 \xi_{4}+\xi_{5}=\lambda_{3}-\xi_{1} \\
\xi_{i-2}+26 \xi_{i-1}+66 \xi_{i}+26 \xi_{i+1}+\xi_{i+2}=\lambda_{i} \\
\quad i=4(1) n-4 \\
26 \xi_{n-2}+66 \xi_{n-3}+26 \xi_{n-4}+\xi_{n-5}=\lambda_{n-3}-\xi_{n-1} \\
66 \xi_{n-2}+26 \xi_{n-3}+\xi_{n-4}=\lambda_{n-2}-26 \xi_{n-1}-\xi_{n}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Let $D=\left(d_{i, j}, 2 \leqslant i, j \leqslant n-2\right)$ be the inverse of the above diagonally dominant coefficient matrix. Then we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\xi_{i}=\sum_{j=2}^{n-2} d_{i, j} \lambda_{j}-d_{i, 2}\left(26 \xi_{1}+\xi_{0}\right)-d_{i, 3} \xi_{1} \\
-d_{i, n-3} \xi_{n-1}-d_{i, n-2}\left(26 \xi_{n-1}+\xi_{n}\right)  \tag{9}\\
i=2(1) n-2 .
\end{gather*}
$$

Here we shall require the following properties of the coefficient matrix $D$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { (i) } \sum_{j=2}^{n-2}\left|d_{i, j}\right| \leqslant 1 / 12, \quad i=2(1) n-2 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) $d_{2,2}=1 /(\theta \kappa)+O\left(|\kappa|^{-n}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{3,2}=1 /(\theta \kappa)(1 / \theta+1 / \kappa)+O\left(|\kappa|^{-n}\right) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
d_{3,3}=-\left\{1 / \theta^{2}+1 /(\theta \kappa)+1 / \kappa^{2}+26 /(\theta \kappa)(1 / \theta+1 / \kappa)\right\}+O\left(|\kappa|^{-n}\right)
$$

(iii) $\quad d_{i, 2}, d_{i, 3}=O\left(|\kappa|^{-i}\right), \quad i=2(1) n-2$.

The above properties are easily obtained by solving the difference equations, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{i, 2}=a \theta^{i-2}+b \theta^{2-i}+c \kappa^{i-2}+d \kappa^{2-i}, \quad i=2(1) n-2 \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where coefficients ( $a, b, c, d$ ) are the solutions of linear equations:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
p(\theta) a+p(1 / \theta) b+p(\kappa) c+p(1 / \kappa) d=1  \tag{12}\\
q(\theta) a+q(1 / \theta) b+q(\kappa) c+q(1 / \kappa) d=0 \\
\theta^{n-4} q(1 / \theta) a+\theta^{4-n} q(\theta) b+\kappa^{n-4} q(1 / \kappa) c+\kappa^{4-n} q(\kappa) d=0 \\
\theta^{n-4} p(1 / \theta) a+\theta^{4-n} p(\theta) b+\kappa^{n-4} p(1 / \kappa) c+\kappa^{4-n} p(\kappa) d=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

$\left(p(x)=x^{2}+26 x+66\right.$ and $\left.q(x)=x^{3}+26 x^{2}+66 x+26\right)$. Since "the determinant of the above coefficient matrix" becomes $(\theta \kappa)^{n-4}\{p(1 / \theta) q(1 / \kappa)-$ $p(1 / \kappa) q(1 / \theta)\}^{2}+\cdots=(\theta \kappa)^{n-2}(\theta-\kappa)^{2}+\cdots$, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
a=O\left(|\theta|^{-2 n}\right), \quad c=O\left(|\theta \kappa|^{-n}\right), \\
b=-1 /\{\theta(\theta-\kappa)\}+O\left(|\kappa|^{-n}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad d=1 /\{\kappa(\theta-\kappa)\}+O\left(|\kappa|^{-n}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Using the above asymptotic estimates, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{i, 2}=\left(\kappa^{1-i}-\theta^{1-i}\right) /(\theta-\kappa)+O\left(|\kappa|^{-n}\right), \quad i=2(1) n-2 \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly we have

$$
\begin{align*}
d_{i, 3}= & \left\{(\kappa+26) \theta^{1-i}-(\theta+26) \kappa^{1-i}\right\} /(\theta-\kappa) \\
& +O\left(|\kappa|^{-n}\right), \quad i=2(1) n-2 . \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

This completes the derivation of properties (10ii) and (10iii).
Now we return to the proof of Lemma 1 . Substituting $\xi_{i}, i=2,3, n-3$ and $n-2$ represented by equations ( 9 ) into the first and last two equations of (6) yields

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ll}
A_{11} & A_{12}  \tag{15}\\
A_{21} & A_{22}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
\xi_{0} \\
\xi_{1} \\
\xi_{n-1} \\
\xi_{n}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\bar{\lambda}_{0} \\
\bar{\lambda}_{1} \\
\bar{\lambda}_{n-1} \\
\bar{\lambda}_{n}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Here, in virtue of (10), we have
(i) $\bar{\lambda}_{i}, i=0,1, n-1$ and $n$ are some linear combinations of $\lambda_{j}$, $j=0(1) n$ such that

$$
\left|\bar{\lambda}_{i}\right| \leqslant C|\lambda| .
$$

(ii)

$$
A_{1,2}, A_{2,1}=O\left(|\kappa|^{-n}\right)\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 1 \\
1 & 1
\end{array}\right] .
$$

(iii)

$$
A_{i, i}=\left[\begin{array}{lr}
1-d_{2,2} \beta_{i} & \alpha_{i}-\left(26 d_{2,2}+d_{2,3}\right) \beta_{i} \\
1-d_{2,2} \delta_{i}-d_{3,2} \eta_{i} & \gamma_{i}-\left(26 d_{2,2}+d_{2,3}\right) \delta_{i} \\
-\left(26 d_{3,2}+d_{3,3}\right) \eta_{i}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

By (10ii) and (10iii), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{det}\left(A_{i, i}\right)= & (1 / \kappa-1 / \theta)^{-1}\left\{p_{i}(1 / \theta) q_{i}(1 / \kappa)\right. \\
& \left.-p_{i}(1 / \kappa) q_{i}(1 / \theta)\right\}+\cdots \neq 0, \quad i=1,2 \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

for sufficiently large $n$. Hence, by (15) we have an inequality of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\xi_{i}\right| \leqslant C|\lambda|, \quad i=0,1, n-1 \text { and } n . \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (9), by (17) and (10i) we have

$$
|\xi| \leqslant C|\lambda| \quad \text { for sufficiently large } n \text {. }
$$

By (7), this inequality implies the nonsingularity of $A_{n+1}$ for sufficiently large $n$ and in addition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|A_{n+1}^{-1}\right| \leqslant C . \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

This completes the proof of this Lemma 1.
Similarly as in the proof of Lemma 1 we have the following lemma that is required for the error estimates at any mesh point bounded away from the endpoints.

Lemma 2 (cf. [4]). Let us denote the (i,j)-component of the inverse of $A_{n}$ in Lemma 1 by $\left(A_{n}^{-1}\right)_{i, j}$. Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(A_{n}^{-1}\right)_{i, 0},\left(A_{n}^{-1}\right)_{i, 1}=O\left(|\kappa|^{-i}+|\kappa|^{i-n}\right), \quad i=0(1) n \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

for sufficiently large $n$.

## 3. Asymptotic Error Estimates

Since quintic splines $s$ and $p$ depend upon $n+5$ parameters, four additional end conditions are required toward the determination of these, respectively. In the present paper, we take these to be homogeneous end conditions:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { (i) } \Delta^{r} s_{0}^{(4)}=\Delta^{r+1} s_{0}^{(4)}=\nabla^{r} s_{n}^{(4)}=\nabla^{r+1} s_{n}^{(4)}=0 \\
& \text { (ii) } \Delta^{r} p_{0}^{(4)}=\Delta^{r+1} p_{0}^{(4)}=\nabla^{r} p_{n}^{(4)}=\nabla^{r+1} p_{n}^{(4)}=0 \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

where $r=5$ or 6 or $7, \Delta$ and $\nabla$ are forward and backward difference operators, respectively. By repeated use of the consistency relation for quintic spline:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
(1 / 120)\left(s_{i+2}^{(4)}+26 s_{i+1}^{(4)}+66 s_{i}^{(4)}+26 s_{i-1}^{(4)}+s_{i-2}^{(4)}\right) \\
=\left(1 / h^{4}\right)\left(s_{i+2}-4 s_{i+1}+6 s_{i}-4 s_{i-1}+s_{i-2}\right) \tag{21}
\end{array}
$$

condition $\Delta^{r} s_{0}^{(4)}=0(r \neq 4)$ may be rewritten as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{0}^{(4)}+a_{r} s_{1}^{(4)}+b_{r} s_{2}^{(4)}+c_{r} s_{3}^{(4)}=L_{r}\left(s_{0}, s_{1}, \ldots, s_{r}\right) \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{r}, b_{r}$ and $c_{r}$ are real constants and $L_{r}$ is some linear combination of $s_{j}, j=0(1) r$ (Table I). For example,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L_{6}=(1 / 317)\left(19021 g_{2}-813 g_{3}+33 g_{4}-g_{5}\right) \\
& L_{7}=(1 / 3840)\left(460801 g_{2}-19834 g_{3}+846 g_{4}-34 g_{5}+g_{6}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

TABLE I

| $r$ | 5 | 6 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| $a_{r}$ | 27 | 26 | $8229 / 317$ | 8 |
| $b_{r}$ | 67 | 65 | $20571 / 317$ | $59805 / 2304$ |
| $c_{r}$ | 25 | $304 / 13$ | $7363 / 317$ | $149490 / 2304$ |

where we denote the right-hand side of (21) by $g_{i}$. By (2i), (21) and (22), we have a system of $s_{i}^{(4)}, i=0(1) n$, whose coefficient matrix $A_{n+1}$ is almost of band-width five:

$$
A_{n+1}=\left[\begin{array}{ccccccc}
1 & a_{r+1} & b_{r+1} & c_{r+1} & & &  \tag{23}\\
1 & a_{r} & b_{r} & c_{r} & & & \\
1 & 26 & 66 & 26 & 1 & & \\
& \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\
& & 1 & 26 & 66 & 26 & 1 \\
& & & c_{r} & b_{r} & a_{r} & 1 \\
& & & c_{r+1} & b_{r+1} & a_{r+1} & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

By Taylor series expansion, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
(1 / 120) & A_{n+1}\left(e_{0}^{(4)}, e_{1}^{(4)}, \ldots, e_{n}^{(4)}\right)^{T} \\
= & \left(O\left(h^{r+1}\right), O\left(h^{r}\right),\left(h^{2} / 12\right) f_{2}^{(6)}+\left(h^{4} / 60\right) f_{i}^{(8)}\right. \\
& \left.+\cdots, O\left(h^{r}\right), O\left(h^{r+1}\right)\right)^{T} \tag{24}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
e_{i}^{(4)}=f_{i}^{(4)}-s_{i}^{(4)}, \quad i=0(1) n
$$

After eliminating (1,4) and ( $n+1, n-2$ )-components of (24), by Lemma 1 we have

$$
\begin{align*}
f_{i}^{(4)}-s_{i}^{(4)}= & \left(h^{2} / 12\right) f_{i}^{(6)}-\left(h^{4} / 240\right) f_{i}^{(8)} \\
& +O\left(h^{\min (6, r)}\right), \quad i=0(1) n \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

Since
(i) $s_{i}^{\prime \prime}=\left(1 / h^{2}\right)\left(2 s_{i}-5 s_{i+1}+4 s_{i+2}-s_{i+3}\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
+\left(h^{2} / 120\right)\left(18 s_{i}^{(4)}+65 s_{i+1}^{(4)}+26 s_{i+2}^{(4)}+s_{i+3}^{(4)}\right), \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) $\quad s_{i}^{\prime}=1 /(6 h)\left(-11 s_{i}+18 s_{i+1}-9 s_{i+2}+2 s_{i+3}\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\left(h^{3} / 720\right)\left(19 s_{i}^{(4)}+108 s_{i+1}^{(4)}+51 s_{i+2}^{(4)}+2 s_{i+3}^{(4)}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have
(i) $f_{i}^{\prime \prime}-s_{i}^{\prime \prime}=-\left(h^{4} / 720\right) f_{i}^{(6)}+\left(h^{6} / 3360\right) f_{i}^{(8)}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
+O\left(h^{\min (8, r+2)}\right), \quad i=0(1) n \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) $\quad f_{i}^{\prime}-s_{i}^{\prime}=-\left(h^{6} / 5040\right) f_{i}^{(7)}+O\left(h^{\min (8, r+3)}\right), \quad i=0(1) n$.

This completes the proof of (3i).

Next we shall derive (3ii). Since $p$ is also quintic, in virtue of the consistency relation and (2ii), we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
(1 / 120)\left(p_{i+2}^{(4)}+26 p_{i+1}^{(4)}+66 p_{i}^{(4)}+26 p_{i-1}^{(4)}+p_{i-2}^{(4)}\right) \\
=\left(1 / h^{4}\right)\left(p_{i+2}-4 p_{i+1}+6 p_{i}-4 p_{i-1}+p_{i-2}\right)  \tag{28}\\
=\left(1 / h^{4}\right)\left(s_{i+2}^{\prime}-4 s_{i+1}^{\prime}+6 s_{i}^{\prime}-4 s_{i-1}^{\prime}+s_{i-2}^{\prime}\right)
\end{gather*}
$$

By means of the consistency relation for quintic spline $s$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(1 / h^{4}\right)\left(s_{i+2}^{\prime}-4 s_{i+1}^{\prime}+6 s_{i}^{\prime}-4 s_{i-1}^{\prime}+s_{i-2}^{\prime}\right) \\
& \quad=1 /(24 h)\left(s_{i+2}^{(4)}+10 s_{i+1}^{(4)}-10 s_{i-1}^{(4)}-s_{i-2}^{(4)}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

the right-hand side of (28) may be easily determined by using the already obtained $s_{i}^{(4)}, i=0(1) n$. By (20ii) and (28), we have a system of equations of $p_{i}^{(4)}, i=0(1) n$, whose coefficient matrix is exactly the same $A_{n+1}$ for determining $s_{i}^{(4)}, i=0(1) n$. That is, $p_{i}^{(4)}, i=0(1) n$ are very easily determined with little additional effort. Similarly as for $s$, using again Lemma 1 yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{i}^{(5)}-p_{i}^{(4)}=\left(h^{2} / 12\right) f_{i}^{(7)}+O\left(h^{\min (4, r-1)}\right), \quad i=0(1) n \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $p_{i}=s_{i}^{\prime}$, by the consistency relation (26ii) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
p_{i}^{\prime}= & (1 / 6 h)\left(-11 s_{i}^{\prime}+18 s_{i+1}^{\prime}-9 s_{i+2}^{\prime}+2 s_{i+3}^{\prime}\right) \\
& -\left(h^{3} / 720\right)\left(19 p_{i}^{(4)}+108 p_{i+1}^{(4)}+51 p_{i+2}^{(4)}+2 p_{i+3}^{(4)}\right) . \tag{30}
\end{align*}
$$

By (27ii), (29) and (30), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{i}^{\prime \prime}-p_{i}^{\prime}=-\left(h^{6} / 2520\right) f_{i}^{(8)}+O\left(h^{\min (8, r+2)}\right), \quad i=0(1) n \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus we have

Theorem 1. Let $s$ and $p$ be quintic interpolants of $f$ and $s$ on a uniform partition of $I$, respectively. Then we have under end conditions (20):
(i) $f_{i}^{\prime \prime}-s_{i}^{\prime \prime}=-\left(h^{4} / 720\right) f_{i}^{(6)}+\left(h^{6} / 3360\right) f_{i}^{(8)}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
+O\left(h^{\min (8, r+2)}\right), \quad i=0(1) n \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) $f_{i}^{\prime \prime}-p_{i}^{\prime}=-\left(h^{6} / 2520\right) f_{i}^{(8)}+O\left(h^{\min (8, r+2)}\right), \quad i=0(1) n$.

Using Lemma 2 (i.e., Kershaw's technique in [4]), we have

TABLE II

$$
\left(f(x)=e^{5 x}\right)
$$

| $x$ | $e_{1}(x)$ | $e_{2}(x)$ | $e_{3}(x)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | $-0.215(-4)^{a}$ | $-0.951(-6)$ | $-0.650(-4)$ |
| $\frac{1}{2}$ | $-0.251(-3)$ | $-0.175(-5)$ | $-0.422(-5)$ |
| 1 | $-0.302(-2)$ | $0.123(-4)$ | $-0.709(-3)$ |

${ }^{a}$ We denote $-0.215 \times 10^{-4}$ by $-0.215(-4)$.

ThEOREM 2. For any integer $4 \leqslant r \leqslant 6$, we have
(i) $f_{i}^{\prime \prime}-s_{i}^{\prime \prime}=-\left(h^{4} / 720\right) f_{i}^{(6)}+O\left(h^{6}\right), \quad i=0(1) n$,
(ii) $f_{i}^{\prime \prime}-p_{i}^{\prime}=-\left(h^{6} / 2520\right) f_{i}^{(8)}+O\left(h^{8}\right), \quad i=0(1) n$
for any mesh point bounded away from the endpoints $x=0$ and $x=1$.

## 4. Numerical Illustration

The results of some numerical computational experiments are given in Tables II and III for the functions $e^{5 x}$ and $\log (1+x)$. We choose $(h, r)=$ $(1 / 16,7)$ and denote

$$
\begin{gathered}
e_{1}(x)=f^{\prime \prime}(x)-s^{\prime \prime}(x), \quad e_{2}(x)=f^{\prime \prime}(x)-p_{h / 2}^{\prime}(x) \\
e_{3}(x)=f^{\prime \prime}(x)-(1 / 15)\left\{16 s_{h / 2}^{\prime \prime}(x)-s_{h}^{\prime \prime}(x)\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

From above, we have

$$
\begin{array}{rlr}
e_{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) / e_{3}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) & \div 0.415 & \left(e^{5 x}\right) \\
& \neq 0.413 & \\
(\log (1+x))
\end{array}
$$

which correspond with the predicted value $5 / 12 \div 0.417$.

TABLE III

$$
(f(x)=\log (1+x))
$$

| $x$ | $e_{1}(x)$ | $e_{2}(x)$ | $e_{3}(x)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| 0 | $0.148(-6)$ | $-0.688(-8)$ | $0.102(-6)$ |
| $\frac{1}{2}$ | $0.139(-7)$ | $0.726(-10)$ | $0.176(-9)$ |
| 1 | $0.252(-8)$ | $0.423(-10)$ | $-0.317(-8)$ |
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